

It's how we treat people.

3D Printed Anterior Cervical Stand-Alone Combined Cage-Plate— 300 Consecutive Implants

Mosope Soda, MD Bryan Cunningham, PhD Brian McCormick, MD Bradley Moatz, MD Paul Asdourian, MD Liana Chotikul, CRNP Daina Brooks, MEM Paul C McAfee, MD, MBA Professor of Georgetown University School of Medicine

300 Operative levels – 157 Consecutive patients

33.8% single level42.7% two levels21.6% three levels1.9% four levels

AVG Age= 59.7 years (Range 31 to 85)

49 Males, 51 Females





Challenging Nature of Cases

16.6% Adjacent Levels (26/157 Patients.

12% Performed as Revision procedures requiring Instrumentation Removal

6% Myelomalacia present pre-operatively

Please note– Any of these three conditions would have excluded the patient from participating in FDA cervical disk replacement prospective studies



16.6% of the 3D Printed Spacers were performed as Adjacent Segment Dz

Unexpected comparative analysis of 3D printed ingrowth at C4-5 after 6 months was superior to

Ingrowth around PEEK spacers at C5-6 and C6-7.

Notice the radiolucent holes in the bone around the PEEK spacers after 3 years. This is confirmed on many multiplanar images. This is not artifact from the tantalum markers.





98.7 % Survivorship Success

FDA criteria– no failures, no anterior reoperations, no instrumentation removals, no subsidence requiring surgery

4 cases required Return to O.R. = 4/300

Case 7 = C2 to C5 2 months post-op Case 19 = Occiput to C6 4 days post-op Case 130 = Osteoporotic Kyphosis. 3 Months One significant subsidence (Case 118) 6 weeks



At lease one grade improvement in ASIA neurologic impairment score—

99% improve 1% maintain



Neck Disability Index = NDI

Mean Pre-operative NDI = 36.3% Mean Post-operative NDI = 18.6%



PROM- Patient Reported Outcome Measures

- Neck Disability Index
- Number of cases with NDI improvement by 15% or greater (same as Cervical Arthroplasty Criteria)

YES	NO	
53	15	
53 / 68	15 / 68	
77.9%	22.1%	



Post-operative Flexion + Extension Radiographs for all 300 Levels at each Follow up Visit.







Typical Ingrowth from autograft Reamings at 2 years Inside 3D Printed Titanium Spacer





Another Typical Case Illustrating Ingrowth with 3D Printed Titanium







Use FDA Prospective RCTs as Control Group for Integrated Spacers

Table I - ACDF SURVIVORSHIP

Study (2 Year FDA Results)	Reoperations	Control Group*
Prodisc C	9	106
Murray et al, Spine Journal 2009		
Bryan	8	221
Heller et al, Spine 2009		
Prestige	24	268
Mummaneni et al, J Neurosurg. 2007		
Secure-C	10	133
PMA FDA SSE 2012		
PCM	10	184
Phillips et al, Spine 2013		
Totals	61	912
Allograft + Plate Survivorship		851/912 = 93.3%
Integrated Plate, PEEK Spacer + Integral Screws		451/460 = 98.0%
* Totals in Control Group at 24 mos		

* Totals in Control Group at 24 mos.

3D Printed Titanium Spacer 296 / 300 = 98.7%



